Journal of Human Services: Training, Research, and Practice

Volume 11 | Issue 2 Article 2

10-2025

Digital Learning and Healing: Impacts of AI in Art Therapy

Cassandra E. Buffington-Bates
University of North Texas - Denton, cassandrabuffington-bates@my.unt.edu

Digital Learning and Healing: Impacts of AI in Art Therapy

Cover Page Footnote

Disclosure Statement The author reports there are no competing interests to declare. Acknowledgments I want to express my deepest gratitude to Samiee Espinoza-Villejo for serving as a guiding light throughout this project. Your unwavering encouragement, insight, and expertise have been invaluable, providing clarity at every turn. I am profoundly grateful for your support and mentorship. Thank you for being such an essential part of this journey.

Abstract

Art therapy, a creative approach to mental and emotional healing, is evolving with digital technologies to improve accessibility and care. This paper explores how artificial intelligence (AI) enhances art therapy by fostering emotional intelligence, collaboration, and personalized interventions. It highlights AI's potential to support therapy while addressing challenges like empathy, data privacy, and training gaps. Through a literature review, the study offers insights into integrating AI with human-centered practices, providing innovative solutions for emotional and cognitive well-being.

Digital Learning and Healing: Impacts of AI in Art Therapy

Art therapy has evolved significantly from its origins as a niche therapeutic practice into a global tool for fostering emotional, cognitive, and psychological growth (Hacmun et al., 2018; Van Lith, 2016; Malchiodi, 2011). Rooted in creativity and self-expression, art therapy enables individuals to process complex emotions, build self-awareness, and develop resilience (Kim and Lor, 2022; Shipley et al., 2021). While traditionally focused on manual art forms, technological advancements have broadened its reach and impact.

Artificial intelligence (AI), a powerful tool in healthcare and education, is increasingly integrated into art therapy, offering new dimensions for personalized, data-driven interventions. AI-enhanced tools can analyze client-created art to detect emotional cues, support therapists with data-driven insights, and make therapy more accessible, especially for those who find traditional methods intimidating (Buffington-Bates, 2024). However, ethical concerns regarding data privacy, training gaps, and the potential depersonalization of therapy persist. Understanding how AI reshapes the therapeutic landscape is essential for realizing its potential as a complement to traditional methods.

This paper explores how AI enhances art therapy, addressing the central question: Why do clients need this? The discussion begins with an overview of traditional art therapy, its strengths, and its limitations. The paper then builds upon these limitations to demonstrate how AI addresses key challenges through personalized interventions, increased accessibility, and improved analysis of client emotions.

The Evolution of AI in Art Therapy

Artificial intelligence encompasses tools and systems that simulate human capabilities such as perception, reasoning, and interaction (Ahmed et al., 2022; Davenport and Kalakota,

2019). AI-powered platforms are now used in art therapy to analyze client-created artwork, enabling therapists to identify emotional cues and provide data-supported interventions (Kim et al., 2023). These tools enhance therapists' ability to interpret art while also empowering clients with immediate feedback to deepen their self-awareness.

Most research on technology in art therapy has focused on digital tools such as tablets and video conferencing platforms**,** which have improved accessibility, especially for remote therapy sessions (Henricksen and Gruber, 2021). Teletherapy platforms enable individuals in underserved or immobile populations to participate in therapy, reducing barriers to care (Dipaola, 2023). Dipaola (2023) suggests that AI in therapy can further reduce stress, decrease the need for medication, and improve overall health. In the last five years, AI applications in creative industries have dramatically increased (Anantrasirichai et al., 2022).

AI in art therapy can evaluate client sketches, including conducting an assessment supporting scoring functions in an explanatory dashboard (Kim et al., 2023). The results are then shared with therapists through data on that dashboard. In an art therapy session, the client sketches a figure, which the therapist or psychologist analyzes using predetermined scoring scales to identify emotional and psychological clues. Therefore, an AI-driven evaluation framework can serve as a supporting tool for art therapists in their work (Kim et al., 2023).

Psychological Impacts and Ethical Considerations

The integration of AI into art therapy influences not only individual therapy sessions but also group dynamics and broader social interactions. Connectivism theory highlights how technology can facilitate collaboration, allowing therapy participants to build supportive communities (Goldie, 2016). Images in art therapy are treated with care and respect, enabling

them to express meaning without forced interpretation. These images are considered living expressions deeply connected to their creators, holding a unique artistic identity (McNiff, 2019).

AI-driven virtual spaces enhance collaboration by creating interactive networks, encouraging mutual support and dynamic learning experiences (Ooi et al., 2025; Hacmun et al., 2018). The Open Studio Approach exemplifies how shared creative spaces foster collaboration, aligning with connectivism's emphasis on collective learning and emotional expression in group settings (Henricksen and Gruber, 2021; Finkel and Bat Or, 2020). Additionally, AI promotes social cohesion and emotional growth by creating shared virtual spaces where individuals can engage in artistic expression together (Morris and Willis-Rauch, 2014). However, ethical concerns regarding AI integration remain significant, particularly in areas such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the risk of depersonalizing therapy (Zubala et al., 2021). If over-relied upon, AI may reduce the human connection essential to therapy, necessitating thoughtful integration to maintain a person-centered approach (Orr, 2006).

Ethical Frameworks for AI Integration in Art Therapy

The ethical challenges of AI in art therapy extend beyond data privacy and cybersecurity to include bias, accountability, and informed consent**.** Zubala et al. (2021) urge the development of robust ethical frameworks to address these concerns and ensure responsible AI implementation**.** For instance, algorithms must be transparent and free from biases that could disproportionately affect marginalized populations (Jobin et al., 2019; Obermeyer et al., 2019). Public trust in AI plays a crucial role in its adoption within therapy. Baldauf et al. (2020) found that trust in AI influences how likely individuals are to use a self-assessment system, reinforcing the need for transparent, well-communicated AI applications in therapy (Kim et al., 2023).

Existing ethics codes do not fully address client vulnerabilities related to technology use. Informed consent procedures should be customized for the specific population and approved by the institution providing therapy. Protecting client privacy and confidentiality in digital spaces is crucial. Additionally, new safety concerns, such as cyberbullying, may arise beyond the therapy session (Alders et al., 2011).

Therapists also play a role in fostering trust by engaging clients in discussions about the ethical use of technology. By explaining how AI tools work and setting clear boundaries**,** therapists can demystify technology and address client concerns (Eynon and Malmberg, 2021). Revised ethical guidelines from professional organizations highlight the importance of art therapists educating clients on the advantages and limitations of digital tools in therapy. The Art Therapy Credentials Board (ATCB) has updated its "Code of Professional Practice" to include specific rules on using technology in art therapy, ensuring therapists account for clients' cognitive, emotional, and physical needs, along with their familiarity with technology (Alders et al., 2011).

Addressing Barriers to Implementation

AI tools can make art therapy more accessible, particularly for individuals who may feel intimidated by traditional therapy or believe they lack artistic skills (Shojaei et al., 2024). However, significant barriers remain in terms of cost, complexity, and accessibility. The integration of AI requires financial investment, particularly for small therapy practices or underfunded institutions.

Similarly, He et al. (2019) highlight how public-private collaborations can address resource disparities and improve accessibility. Subsidies, grants, and shared access programs could make AI-enhanced art therapy more accessible to therapists and clients alike (Topol,

2019). Moreover, addressing barriers requires an iterative approach to technology development. By involving therapists in the design and testing phases, developers can create tools that are both user-friendly and aligned with therapeutic goals (Orr, 2006). This reflects the participatory design frameworks discussed by Sanders and Stappers (2008), which emphasize co-creation with end-users to ensure AI tools meet practical and therapeutic needs.

Community-Based Approaches to Inclusivity

Community-based art therapy programs have successfully reduced stigma and expanded access to care (Shipley et al., 2021). AI should be developed with inclusivity in mind, ensuring it reaches diverse populations. Eubanks and Foucault Welles (2019) stress the importance of inclusive AI design to prevent reinforcing existing inequities**.** Therapists must establish transparent guidelines and foster trust by involving clients in discussions about the use of technology (Wykes et al., 2019). Ensuring that AI tools are implemented ethically and responsibly will help maintain client confidence and engagement**.**

However, practical challenges, including high implementation costs and training barriers, underscore the need for strategic planning and resource allocation (Zubala et al., 2021; Floridi et al., 2018). For example, Monti et al. (2006), Thyme et al. (2007), and Curtis (2013) estimated that art therapy costs per person range from eighty to two hundred and forty-eight pounds (Uttley et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2023). The ethical integration of AI into art therapy must balance technological innovation with human-centered values. Privacy, security, and therapist-client trust remain key considerations (Mittelstadt et al., 2016). Research suggests that government-funded initiatives and shared-access AI programs could help make these tools more widely available (Zubala et al., 2021).

Expanding Artistic Modalities with Technology

AI introduces new artistic possibilities that extend beyond traditional media. Hacmun et al. (2018) highlight the use of virtual reality (VR) in art therapy, allowing clients to create immersive, three-dimensional artworks. This experience enhances creativity and emotional engagement, particularly for individuals with trauma or stress-related conditions. De Giorgi et al. (2023) demonstrate how VR-enabled art therapy can engage clients with limited mobility, offering virtual canvases that simulate real-world artistic experiences. These immersive environments allow individuals who may struggle with physical art materials to fully participate in the creative process, broadening the accessibility of therapy.

AI-powered tools also facilitate inclusive practices by accommodating diverse needs. Sun et al. (2024) describe AI systems that personalize therapy based on user behavior, enabling clients with limited artistic skills to participate more meaningfully. These advancements make art therapy more adaptable, allowing for a broader range of expressive modalities. These advancements help make art therapy more adaptable and inclusive by focusing on connecting and sharing knowledge (Goldie, 2016).

AI as a Catalyst for Emotional Intelligence and Self-Discovery

The integration of AI in art therapy aligns with the therapeutic goals of emotional regulation and self-awareness. Emotion recognition technologies analyze facial expressions**,** voice patterns, and artistic elements to assess emotional states, helping clients engage more consciously with their feelings (Sun et al., 2024). AI-powered platforms also provide real-time feedback, encouraging introspection and fostering emotional growth. For therapists, AI enhances their ability to tailor interventions. Tools like AlphaDAPR (Kim et al., 2023) provide dashboards

that track client progress, detect emotional trends, and suggest data-driven therapeutic strategies. These technologies enable therapists to refine their approaches, improving therapy outcomes.

AI chatbots equipped with natural language processing offer additional support by facilitating communication and providing 24/7 emotional assistance (Luo et al., 2024). While AI cannot replace human intuition, it serves as a valuable tool for expanding emotional intelligence and self-discovery in therapy.

Reducing the Digital Divide in Therapy Access

AI has the potential to bridge disparities in mental health access. Online therapy sessions, virtual workshops, and AI-powered platforms allow therapists to provide consistent care to clients who might otherwise face barriers such as geographic isolation or mobility limitations (Choi et al., 2020; Wind et al., 2020). Immersive technologies like VR enable individuals with disabilities to create art in ways that may not be possible with traditional tools (De Giorgi et al., 2023). Studies show that teletherapy and digital interventions are effective in expanding access to mental health services, particularly in underserved communities (Hilty et al., 2013).

Theoretical Frameworks

Art therapy incorporates diverse psychological frameworks to address client needs. The integration of AI presents new opportunities for enhancing these models.

Cognitive-Behavioral Framework

Cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) is based on the principle that modifying thought patterns can lead to positive behavioral changes. AI enhances CBT by identifying maladaptive thought patterns through analysis of client-created artwork (Kim et al., 2023). AI-driven sentiment analysis and natural language processing can help therapists detect cognitive distortions in real time, allowing for more targeted interventions (Torous et al., 2021).

Additionally, AI-powered mental health apps provide interactive exercises, mood tracking, and guided CBT strategies, reinforcing therapeutic principles between sessions (Andersson et al., 2022).

Furthermore, machine learning models can predict symptom relapse by analyzing linguistic patterns and behavioral markers in client communication, allowing for proactive intervention (Graham et al., 2023). While AI cannot replace human intuition, its ability to process large datasets quickly enhances the precision and accessibility of CBT-based treatments.

Humanistic Framework

The humanistic approach emphasizes self-actualization, authenticity, and personal growth. AI supports this framework by offering personalized feedback and interactive self-reflection tools (Galkin et al., 2022). For example, AI-assisted journaling tools and narrative therapy applications help clients articulate personal experiences, deepening their self-awareness (Blease et al., 2023). VR-based therapy models also create safe, judgment-free environments, reinforcing Carl Rogers' emphasis on unconditional positive regard (Medlock, 2012). Although AI cannot replicate human empathy, it enhances therapeutic accessibility and personalization, supporting humanistic principles in a complementary role.

Humanistic Approaches and AI: Preserving Empathy in a Digital Landscape

Humanistic therapy, with its focus on authenticity and self-actualization, presents both challenges and opportunities for AI integration (McDonald and Wearing, 2013). While AI can expand access to therapy and personalize interventions, it risks depersonalizing the therapeutic relationship if used improperly. Medlock (2012) stresses that maintaining empathy and genuine connection remains essential, even when therapy is mediated by technology. To address this challenge, AI should function as an adjunct rather than a replacement for human therapists. For

instance, AI tools can assist by providing initial assessments or suggesting creative exercises, but therapists must retain responsibility for interpretation and guidance. This collaborative approach ensures that technology enhances rather than diminishes the humanistic elements of therapy.

The Role of AI in Group Dynamics and Social Empowerment

Connectivism, a theory emphasizing technology's role in collaborative learning, highlights how AI-driven tools can foster community-building and social engagement in art therapy (Goldie, 2016). AI-powered virtual therapy spaces enable participants to share artistic expression, engage in group discussions, and develop emotional resilience (Morris and Willis-Rauch, 2014). In therapy settings, connectivism learning models have been shown to enhance creativity and social skills, making individuals more engaged and self-directed in their learning process (Wati et al., 2022). AI platforms facilitate real-time collaboration, allowing participants to create, critique, and refine artwork collectively (Goldie, 2016; Du et al., 2024). These digital environments promote a sense of belonging and acceptance, key components of group therapy.

Connectivism's integration of technology and socialization supports shared healing processes, reinforcing the therapeutic responsibility between therapist and client (Goldie, 2016). By incorporating more advanced technology, such as VR and AI-assisted design tools**,** art therapy can become even more inclusive and widely accessible (Malchiodi, 2011; De Giorgi, 2023).

The Intersection of Connectivism and Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy

Connectivism's focus on adaptive learning through technology aligns with the principles of cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT). CBT has been shown to be effective for PTSD, anxiety, and stress reduction, as it helps individuals reframe negative thought patterns and develop coping mechanisms (Mirabella, 2020). By integrating AI into CBT, therapists can use data-driven

insights to identify cognitive distortions and emotional triggers in client-created artwork **(**Kim et al., 2023). AI-powered tools can detect recurring themes such as fear or self-criticism, providing therapists with valuable insights to guide interventions.

Additionally, AI enhances collaborative problem-solving in CBT. Clients using AI-powered platforms can engage in guided exercises that encourage cognitive restructuring and reflection**.** This approach not only deepens personal engagement but also builds emotional resilience through collective learning (Goldie, 2016).

Methodological Approaches

A structured approach is necessary for effectively integrating AI into art therapy.

1. **Training and Development**

   Therapists must receive ongoing education to navigate AI tools effectively. This includes understanding software functionalities, ethical guidelines, and culturally competent practices (Orr, 2006). Training art therapists to integrate AI into their practice is a critical step in realizing its potential. Orr (2006) highlights the need for comprehensive education programs that address both technical skills and ethical considerations**.** Workshops, certification courses, continuing education as AI evolves, and interdisciplinary collaborations can equip therapists with the knowledge and confidence to use AI tools effectively.

   Moreover, Orr (2006) found that learning technologies in virtual art therapy settings can strain the therapist-client relationship, suggesting that proper training is necessary before using these technologies. Training should also emphasize AI's limitations, ensuring that therapists view AI as a supportive tool rather than a

replacement**.** This approach fosters a balanced integration of AI that prioritizes client well-being and therapist autonomy**.**

2. **Accessibility and Equity**

Bridging the digital divide is critical to ensuring that AI-enhanced art therapy is available to all**.** De Giorgi et al. (2023) advocate for partnerships between technology developers and community organizations to reduce barriers to access**.** These collaborations can improve resource allocation and ensure that AI-based therapy tools reach diverse populations, including underserved communities**.**

3. **Client-Centered Design**

AI tools should prioritize client needs and experiences, ensuring that technology complements the therapeutic process rather than overshadowing human connection**.** Zubala et al. (2021) recommend collaborative design practices that involve clients in shaping AI applications**.** Cultural competence is essential in developing AI applications for art therapy**.** Potash et al., (2017) emphasize the importance of understanding cultural contexts, ensuring that therapeutic tools resonate with diverse client populations. AI systems should incorporate cultural symbols, languages, and artistic traditions to create an inclusive and meaningful therapeutic environment.

Additionally, collaborative design practices can involve both clients and therapists in shaping AI tools. By soliciting feedback from diverse stakeholders, developers can ensure that AI applications align with the needs and values of the communities they serve (Zubala et al., 2021).

4. **Methodological Considerations and Subsequent Research Methods**

While AI integration in art therapy has been widely explored, much of the existing literature focuses on its practical applications rather than structured research methodologies (Shojaei et al., 2024). Many studies examine AI-generated art's impact on therapists and clients, yet few employ rigorous research designs to evaluate measurable therapeutic outcomes (Yoo, 2023). Current research relies heavily on qualitative insights and practitioner perspectives, highlighting the need for standardized methodological frameworks to assess AI-driven interventions (Cajulis et al., 2025). Future research should incorporate neurophysiological studies and empirical methodologies to evaluate AI's role in therapy beyond anecdotal evidence, ensuring scientific validity and measurable impact (Malhotra et al., 2024).

Implications for Future Research

The integration of AI into art therapy presents new avenues for research, particularly in assessing long-term therapeutic outcomes. Future studies should focus on:

1. **Diversity and Inclusion**

   Expanding research to include participants from diverse cultural, socioeconomic, and geographic backgrounds will provide a more comprehensive understanding of AI's effectiveness. Potash et al. (2017) emphasize the importance of cultural competence in designing therapeutic tools, ensuring they resonate with diverse client populations. Future research should embrace more diverse participants and client perspectives to better understand technology's role in art therapy (Shojaei et al., 2024).

2. **Interdisciplinary Collaboration**

Collaborations between technologists, psychologists, and art therapists are essential to advancing AI applications in therapy. By integrating expertise from multiple disciplines, researchers can develop more intuitive, ethically responsible AI tools.

3. **Evaluation Metrics**

   Establishing standardized metrics for measuring AI's impact on art therapy will enhance the credibility of the field. Potential measures include emotional intelligence assessments, client satisfaction surveys, and longitudinal studies tracking therapeutic progress. Art therapy has long been valued for fostering emotional expression and self-awareness (Shipley et al., 2021). By incorporating AI, therapists can expand these benefits through personalized insights and interactive features that enhance engagement with the therapeutic process.

Conclusion

Artificial intelligence offers transformative potential in art therapy, providing new tools for emotional healing, cognitive development, and social connection. When integrated thoughtfully and ethically, AI allows therapists to expand access to care, personalize interventions, and foster collaboration, aligning with connectivism, cognitive-behavioral**,** and humanistic frameworks (Floridi et al., 2018; He et al., 2019). The use of AI in art therapy builds on community-based approaches that have been successful in reducing stigma and improving accessibility (Shipley et al., 2021). Digital platforms powered by AI can replicate these safe, inclusive spaces, helping clients process trauma, grief, and other emotional challenges while fostering meaningful connections and creative expression (Eubanks and Foucault Welles, 2019).

Maximizing AI's benefits in art therapy depends on strategic implementation from therapists, researchers, and policymakers to strengthen rather than substitute traditional

therapeutic relationships (Mittelstadt et al., 2016; Wykes et al., 2019). Ongoing education, ethical innovation, and culturally competent practices are necessary to address challenges such as bias and depersonalization (Jobin et al., 2019). Furthermore, involving therapists in designing and testing AI tools ensures they are user-friendly, effective, and aligned with client needs (Sanders and Stappers, 2008). AI has the potential to redefine art therapy by prioritizing empathy, inclusivity, and client well-being, opening new pathways for creative expression and emotional growth in an increasingly digital world.

Future research is needed to explore how AI-driven tools can provide deeper therapeutic value beyond data analysis**,** emphasizing iterative improvements, rigorous training, and collaboration (Orr, 2006; Topol, 2019).

References

Alders, A., Beck, L., Allen, P. B., & Mosinski, B. (2011). Technology in art therapy: Ethical challenges. *Art Therapy, 28*(4), 165–170. https://doi.org/10.1080/07421656.2011.622683

Anantrasirichai, N., & Bull, D. (2022). Artificial intelligence in the creative industries: A review. *Artificial Intelligence Review, 55*(1), 589–656. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-021-10039-7

Andersson, G., Titov, N., Dear, B. F., Rozental, A., & Carlbring, P. (2022). Internet-delivered psychological treatments: From innovation to implementation. *World Psychiatry, 21*(3), 404–414. https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20923

Baldauf, M., Fröehlich, P., & Endl, R. (2020, November). Trust me, I'm a doctor: User perceptions of AI-driven apps for mobile health diagnosis. In *Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Mobile and Ubiquitous Multimedia* (pp. 167–178).

Blease, C., Kaptchuk, T. J., & Bernstein, M. H. (2023). Artificial intelligence and the humanistic imperative in healthcare: Toward a "third wave" of patient-clinician interaction. *Journal of Medical Ethics, 49*(1), 22–27. https://doi.org/10.1136/medethics-2021-107824

Buffington-Bates, C. (2024). *Digital learning and healing: Impacts of AI in art therapy* [Manuscript submitted for publication].

Cajulis, R. C. J. C., Tuazon, J. A. O., & Cabauatan, R. R. (2025). Artificial intelligence in digital art: A comparative analysis on impacts to artists. *International Journal of Arts, Recreation and Sports*, *4*(1), 1–27.

Choi, N. G., DiNitto, D. M., Marti, C. N., & Choi, B. Y. (2020). Telehealth use among older adults during COVID-19: Implications for policy and practice. *Journal of Applied Gerontology*, *39*(8), 911–918.

Crowe, M., Inder, M., Manuel, J., & Carlyle, D. (2023). Characteristics of effective teletherapy for major depression: A systematic review. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 327*, 175–182.

Davenport, T., & Kalakota, R. (2019). The potential for artificial intelligence in healthcare. *Future Healthcare Journal, 6*(2), 94–98.

De Giorgi, R., Fortini, A., Aghilarre, F., Gentili, F., Morone, G., Antonucci, G., … Iosa, M. (2023). Virtual art therapy: Application of Michelangelo Effect to neurorehabilitation of patients with stroke. *Journal of Clinical Medicine, 12*(7), 2590. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm12072590

DiPaola, S., & Song, M. (2023, October). Combining artificial intelligence, bio-sensing and multimodal control for bio-responsive interactives. In *Companion Publication of the 25th International Conference on Multimodal Interaction* (pp. 318–322).

Du, X., An, P., Leung, J., Li, A., Chapman, L. E., & Zhao, J. (2024). DeepThInk: Designing and probing human-AI co-creation in digital art therapy. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 181*, 103139.

Eubanks, V., & Foucault Welles, B. (2019). Automating inequality: How high-tech tools profile, police, and punish the poor. *AI & Society, 34*(3), 485–494.

Eynon, R., & Malmberg, L. E. (2021). Understanding the relationship between trust in AI and data-driven practices in education and healthcare. *AI & Society, 36*, 865–875.

Finkel, D., & Bat Or, M. (2020). The open studio approach to art therapy: A systematic scoping review. *Frontiers in Psychology, 11*, 568042. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.568042

Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., … Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society: Opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations. *Minds and Machines, 28*, 689–707.

Galkin, F., Kochetov, K., Keller, M., Zhavoronkov, A., & Etcoff, N. (2022). Optimizing future well-being with artificial intelligence: Self-organizing maps (SOMs) for the identification of islands of emotional stability. *Aging (Albany NY), 14*(12), 4935–4958.

Goldie, J. G. S. (2016). Connectivism: A knowledge learning theory for the digital age? *Medical Teacher, 38*(10), 1064–1069.

Graham, A. K., Greene, C. J., Kwasny, M. J., Kaiser, S. M., Lieponis, P., Powell, T., & Mohr, D. C. (2023). Coached mobile app platform for the treatment of depression and anxiety among primary care patients: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Psychiatry, 80*(1), 41–49. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2022.3477

Hacmun, I., Regev, D., & Salomon, R. (2018). The principles of art therapy in virtual reality. *Frontiers in Psychology, 9*, 2082.

He, J., Baxter, S. L., Xu, J., Xu, J., Zhou, X., & Zhang, K. (2019). The practical implementation of artificial intelligence technologies in medicine. *Nature Medicine, 25*(1), 30–36.

Henriksen, D., & Gruber, N. (2021). Towards wholeness: Exploring the transformative healing of the creative process with Dr. Patricia Allen. *TechTrends, 65*, 246.

Hilty, D. M., Ferrer, D. C., Parish, M. B., Johnston, B., Callahan, E. J., & Yellowlees, P. M. (2013). The effectiveness of telepsychiatry: A review. *Telemedicine and e-Health, 19*(6), 444–454.

Jobin, A., Ienca, M., & Vayena, E. (2019). The global landscape of AI ethics guidelines. *Nature Machine Intelligence, 1*(9), 389–399.

Kim, J., Kang, J., Kim, T., Song, H., & Han, J. (2023, March). AlphaDAPR: An AI-based explainable expert support system for art therapy. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces* (pp. 19–31).

Kim, K. S., & Lor, M. (2022). Art making as a health intervention: Concept analysis and implications for nursing interventions. *Advances in Nursing Science, 45*(2), 155–169. https://doi.org/10.1097/ANS.0000000000000412

Luo, X., Zhang, A., Li, Y., Zhang, Z., Ying, F., Lin, R., … Huang, G. (2024). Emergence of artificial intelligence art therapies (AIATs) in mental health care: A systematic review. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing, 33*(6), 1743–1760.

Malchiodi, C. A. (Ed.). (2011). *Handbook of art therapy.* Guilford Press.

Malhotra, B., Jones, L. C., Spooner, H., Levy, C., Kaimal, G., & Williamson, J. B. (2024). A conceptual framework for a neurophysiological basis of art therapy for PTSD. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 18,* 1351757. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2024.1351757

McDonald, M., & Wearing, S. (2013). A reconceptualization of the self in humanistic psychology: Heidegger, Foucault and the sociocultural turn. *Journal of Phenomenological Psychology*, *44*(1), 37–59.

Medlock, G. (2012). The evolving ethic of authenticity: From humanistic to positive psychology. *The Humanistic Psychologist, 40*(1), 38–57.

Mirabella, L. (2020, October 13). Three approaches to art therapy. *Where Creativity Works.* https://wherecreativityworks.com/three-approaches-to-art-therapy/

Mittelstadt, B. D., Allo, P., Taddeo, M., Wachter, S., & Floridi, L. (2016). The ethics of algorithms: Mapping the debate. *Big Data & Society*, *3*(2), 1–21.

Monti, D. A., Peterson, C., Kunkel, E. J. S., Hauck, W. W., Pequignot, E., Rhodes, L., & Brainard, G. C. (2006). A randomized, controlled trial of mindfulness-based art therapy (MBAT) for women with cancer. *Psycho-Oncology*, *15*(5), 363–373.

Morris, F. J., & Willis-Rauch, M. (2014). Join the art club: Exploring social empowerment in art therapy. *Art Therapy, 31*(1), 28–33.

Obermeyer, Z., Powers, B., Vogeli, C., & Mullainathan, S. (2019). Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations. *Science, 366*(6464), 447–453.

Ooi, K. B., Tan, G. W. H., Al-Emran, M., Al-Sharafi, M. A., Capatina, A., Chakraborty, A., … Wong, L. W. (2025). The potential of generative artificial intelligence across disciplines: Perspectives and future directions. *Journal of Computer Information Systems, 65*(1), 76–107.

Orr, P. P. (2006). Technology training for future art therapists: Is there a need? *Art Therapy, 23*(4), 191–196.

Potash, J. S., Bardot, H., Moon, C. H., Napoli, M., Lyonsmith, A., & Hamilton, M. (2017). Ethical implications of cross-cultural international art therapy. *The Arts in Psychotherapy, 56*, 74–82.

Sanders, E. B. N., & Stappers, P. J. (2008). Co-creation and the new landscapes of design. *CoDesign, 4*(1), 5–18.

Shipley, M. J., Coggins, K., Shipley, K. C., & Ellison, D. (2021). Community-based art therapy program to honor 9/11. *Journal of Creativity in Mental Health, 16*(2), 140–152. https://doi.org/10.1080/15401383.2020.1757003

Shojaei, F., Osorio Torres, J., & Shih, P. C. (2024). Exploring the integration of technology in art therapy: Insights from interviews with art therapists. *Art Therapy*, 1–7.

Sun, W., Liu, Y., & Teng, J. (2024). Analysis of the role and effectiveness of artificial intelligence in art therapy. *Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Sciences, 9*(1), 1–21.

Thyme, K. E., Sundin, E. C., Stahlberg, G., Lindstrom, B., Eklof, H., & Wiberg, B. (2007). The outcome of short-term psychodynamic art therapy compared to short-term psychodynamic verbal therapy for depressed women. *Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy, 21*(3), 250–264.

Topol, E. (2019). High-performance medicine: The convergence of human and artificial intelligence. *Nature Medicine*, *25*(1), 44–56.

Torous, J., Wisniewski, H., Liu, G., & Keshavan, M. (2021). Mental health mobile phone app usage, concerns, and benefits among psychiatric outpatients: Comparative survey study. *JMIR Mental Health, 8*(3), e25847. https://doi.org/10.2196/25847

Uttley, L., Stevenson, M., Scope, A., Rawdin, A., & Sutton, A. (2015). The clinical and cost effectiveness of group art therapy for people with non-psychotic mental health disorders: A systematic review and cost-effectiveness analysis. *BMC Psychiatry*, *15*, 1–13.

Van Lith, T. (2016). Art therapy in mental health: A systematic review of approaches and practices. *The Arts in Psychotherapy*, *47*, 9–22.

Wati, T. L., & Mustaji, A. M. (2022). The effectiveness of connectivism-based area learning models to improve creativity and social skills in arts and crafts in elementary school students. *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, 678–689.

Wind, T. R., Rijkeboer, M., Andersson, G., & Riper, H. (2020). The COVID-19 pandemic: The "black swan" for mental health care and a turning point for e-health. *Internet Interventions, 20*, 100317.

Wykes, T., Lipshitz, J., & Schueller, S. M. (2019). Towards the design of ethical standards related to digital mental health and all its applications. *World Psychiatry, 18*(1), 33–35.

Yoo, S. (2023). *Digital art therapy with generative AI: Mind Palette.* MIT App Inventor. https://appinventor.mit.edu/assets/files/yoo2023digital.pdf

Zubala, A., Kennell, N., & Hackett, S. (2021). Art therapy in the digital world: An integrative review of current practice and future directions. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *12*, 600070. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.600070